Plant Photosynthetic Efficiency Through Microbial Symbiosis and CRISPR-Based Engineering

Doli Vaghasia

Abstract

This research publication assesses the different photosynthetic mechanisms of plants and the evolutionary adaptations that have led to their optimization across varying environments, drawing on the intersecting fields of plant physiology, microbial biotechnology, and genetic engineering. The paper compares C3, C4, and CAM plants, demonstrating that C3 species such as wheat perform best in cooler, stable climates, C4 species in warmer, high-light environments, and CAM species in arid, drought-prone conditions. Beneficial bacteria including Pseudomonas sp. RU47 and Rhizobium sp. B02 are examined for their capacity to improve plant growth by enhancing nitrogen fixation and phosphorus mobilization. Endophytic bacteria colonizing plant tissues are also evaluated for their ability to boost photosynthesis through the production of hormones that increase leaf growth and chlorophyll levels, with one study reporting a 34% increase in chlorophyll concentration and a 28% rise in photosynthetic rate. New gene-editing tools, particularly CRISPR-Cas9, are assessed for their potential to improve the Rubisco enzyme and enhance carbon fixation efficiency, achieving gene knockout efficiency rates of 60 to 90%. The paper further examines how heat, drought, and CO2 fluctuation reduce photosynthesis, with combined stress conditions reducing photosynthetic rates by up to 70 to 80%. Ethical and environmental concerns surrounding CRISPR applications are also addressed. The paper concludes that these emerging methods hold significant promise for improving plant productivity but require long-term, rigorously controlled research before large-scale adoption.

Introduction

Since the mid-20th century, the Green Revolution marked a pivotal period in agricultural productivity, driven by the introduction of chemical fertilizers, mechanization, and high-yield crop varieties. In the 21st century, researchers have recorded significant technological advances made possible through biotechnology and genetic engineering, leading to the development of precision molecular tools such as the CRISPR-Cas9 system. This technology has allowed researchers to edit the genetic makeup of plants with unprecedented accuracy, substantially improving crop resilience, nutrient uptake, and photosynthetic efficiency without reliance on cross-breeding or chemical inputs.

The completion of the Human Genome Project and subsequent advances in genomic sequencing have enabled gene editing at greater surgical precision and lower cost than previously possible. Through the integration of AI-based bioinformatic models capable of predicting gene interactions, plants can now be assessed from biomedical, mechanical, and sustainability perspectives simultaneously. At the forefront of genetic innovation, researchers anticipate the development of climate-smart, high-yield, and resource-efficient crops capable of performing reliably under the environmental pressures of coming decades.

Discussion

Effects of C3, C4, and CAM Pathways on Plant Photosynthesis

C3 plants, which include most temperate crops such as wheat, rice, and soybeans, represent the most common photosynthetic strategy on Earth. Their photosynthesis depends on the enzyme Rubisco, which has a dual affinity for carbon dioxide and oxygen (Kumar et al., 2017). Under rising temperatures and declining CO2 concentrations, Rubisco increasingly reacts with oxygen, initiating photorespiration, a process that wastes energy and reduces overall photosynthetic efficiency. As Kumar et al. (2017) note, C3 photosynthesis represents an imperfect compromise of efficiency due to this photorespiratory loss. This inefficiency becomes more pronounced under climate stress, making C3 species less adaptable to rising temperatures and water scarcity. Importantly, however, different C3 species show a wide range of acclimation capacity to elevated CO2 and temperature, depending on their genetic makeup and environmental origin (Soni et al., 2019), meaning that while all C3 plants share the same basic photosynthetic pathway, their responses to climate change are not uniform.

While C3 plants struggle with water loss and declining photosynthetic efficiency under heat and drought, CAM plants exhibit a markedly different strategy. As Nobel (1991) observes, net CO2 uptake integrated over 24 hours is broadly similar across the three pathways, yet the higher water-use efficiency of CAM plants confers a significant ecological and physiological advantage under drought conditions. C4 plants occupy an intermediate position, thriving under heat and high light intensities through a carbon-concentrating mechanism that suppresses photorespiration.

Overall, the distinct physiological strategies of C3, C4, and CAM plants reflect evolutionary diversity in photosynthesis that provides varied pathways for survival across different environmental conditions.

Interventions of Nitrogen-Fixing and Phosphorus-Mobilizing Bacteria on Plant Growth

In optimizing plant enzyme function and nutrient availability, researchers have documented how both viable and heat-treated applications of the bacterium Pseudomonas sp. RU47 significantly promoted tomato plant growth in terms of biomass and stem diameter (Nassal et al., 2017). RU47 treatments led to higher phosphorus uptake by plants and increased water-extractable phosphorus in the soil. A distinction was observed between the two treatment forms: viable RU47 directly improved phosphorus mineralization, while heat-treated RU47 enhanced the soil microbial community by stimulating phosphorus mobilization. RU47 treatments also altered soil microbial composition by enhancing the abundance of Proteobacteria. These findings suggest that Pseudomonas sp. RU47 holds significant potential as a biofertilizer to improve phosphorus use efficiency and plant growth, supporting sustainable agricultural practices in phosphorus-limited soils and reducing dependence on chemical phosphorus inputs.

A complementary line of research examined the bacterium Rhizobium sp. B02, originally isolated from legume nodules, and found that it possesses both nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing capabilities that can enhance plant growth across developmental stages (Beltran-Medina et al., 2023). The microbial intervention produced measurable growth benefits at the vegetative stage, including longer shoots and greater dry biomass compared to uninoculated controls. Together, these findings establish nitrogen-fixing and phosphorus-mobilizing bacteria as viable and ecologically responsible alternatives to synthetic fertilizers.

Impact of Endophytic Bacteria on Plant Hormone Levels and Photosynthesis

Endophytic bacteria significantly influence plant hormone levels, producing measurable improvements in photosynthetic efficiency and overall growth. These microbes colonize plant tissues and produce bioactive metabolites that function analogously to natural phytohormones, including auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins. Such hormonal modulation enhances chlorophyll content, leaf area, and CO2 assimilation rates. In a comparative study on Phaseolus vulgaris, Ismail (2021) reported that plants treated with microbial metabolites showed a 34% increase in chlorophyll concentration, a 28% rise in photosynthetic rate, and a 25% improvement in overall biomass compared to those treated with synthetic hormones. The authors concluded that metabolites secreted by endophytic microbes can mimic or even surpass the effects of traditional phytohormones, offering a sustainable approach for promoting plant development under stress conditions.

A separate study in Phyllostachys edulis seedlings demonstrated that the bacterium JL-B06 increased photosynthesis rates relative to water-only controls across a 60-day monitoring period. At 15 days after inoculation, photosynthesis was 25.66% higher than the control; at 30 days, 61.18% higher; and at 60 days, 39.47% higher. Although the differences at 30 and 60 days were not statistically significant, the findings suggest that endophytic bacteria can temporarily boost photosynthesis, likely by modulating hormone levels that support leaf growth and chlorophyll production (Yuan et al., 2018). Collectively, these results indicate that endophytic bacteria offer a biologically grounded and sustainable strategy for enhancing photosynthetic performance in both C3 and C4 crops under climate stress.

Introduction of CRISPR Gene Editing to Improve Rubisco Efficiency

Rubisco, the enzyme responsible for the initial step in converting inorganic CO2 into organic carbon during photosynthetic carbon assimilation, is simultaneously the most abundant enzyme on Earth and one of the most catalytically inefficient. It operates at a slow catalytic rate and exhibits poor specificity between CO2 and oxygen (Zhou et al., 2024). Structurally, Rubisco comprises eight large subunits encoded by the rbcL gene and small subunits encoded by a multigene rbcS family, which collectively govern enzyme assembly, stability, and catalytic behavior. Variations in rbcS sequences can significantly influence catalytic efficiency, making the small subunit a compelling target for genetic engineering.

When the CRISPR-Cas9 system is applied to target multiple rbcS genes in crops, it can generate knockout variants that reveal the functional contribution of individual subunits to overall carbon fixation capacity. Studies applying this approach achieved gene editing efficiency rates of 60 to 90% across different knockout lines from the same plant family (Zhou et al., 2024), demonstrating the precision with which CRISPR can manipulate photosynthetic machinery at the molecular level. A complementary study found that CRISPR mutants showed a 93% reduction in Rubisco protein content and a reduction of overall biomass to 10% of wild-type plants after 45 days of growth (Donovan et al., 2020). While these findings underscore the extent of Rubisco's role in plant productivity, they also provide a mechanistic foundation for engineering enhanced variants with improved CO2 specificity and catalytic throughput.

Impact of Heat, Drought, and CO2 Fluctuation on the Photosynthesis Process

Environmental stressors including heat, drought, and CO2 fluctuation exert significant downward pressure on photosynthetic efficiency. When plants experienced combined heat and drought conditions, the photosynthetic rate, marked as Pn, declined substantially (Zhou et al., 2020). Specifically, under ambient CO2, the photosynthetic rate dropped by 65 to 75% under combined heat and drought stress compared to control conditions, and the maximum quantum yield of Photosystem II decreased from 0.83 in the control group to 0.68 under combined stress. These findings indicate that CO2 enrichment can improve photosynthetic efficiency only within physiological limits, and that the plant's response to environmental stress is mediated by genotype, heat intensity, and water availability.

Research on the rice plant Oryza sativa corroborated these findings and extended them further. Under heat stress alone, the net photosynthetic rate declined by 50 to 60%, while drought stress alone led to a 40 to 50% reduction. When heat and drought occurred in combination, the photosynthetic rate declined by nearly 70 to 80% compared to control plants, indicating a major impairment in carbon assimilation pathways (Hamerlynck, 1999). These results collectively reinforce the urgent need to develop crop varieties with integrated tolerance mechanisms capable of maintaining photosynthetic function under both temperature and water deficit conditions.

Ethics, Discussion, and Limitations

While the studies discussed in this paper offer valuable insight into how photosynthesis can be improved through genetic and microbial interventions, several ethical considerations and methodological limitations remain. The use of CRISPR and other gene-editing technologies in plants introduces complex moral and ecological questions. Although CRISPR enables targeted and efficient genetic modifications, such as improving Rubisco's catalytic efficiency, it also raises concerns about long-term ecological impacts, gene flow to wild plant relatives, and potential disruptions to biodiversity (Idris et al., 2023). These issues necessitate the establishment of strong biosafety and ethical guidelines before large-scale agricultural applications are pursued.

A further methodological limitation is that much of the reviewed literature is secondary or review-based rather than empirical or longitudinal in design. Most studies summarize findings from short-term experiments conducted under controlled laboratory conditions, which do not fully replicate the variability of natural field environments. As a result, it is difficult to determine how sustainable or stable these genetic or microbial modifications would be across multiple growing seasons and diverse climatic regions. More long-term, field-based studies are necessary to validate the observed improvements in photosynthetic efficiency, water-use efficiency, and crop yield (Idris et al., 2023). Additionally, while CRISPR remains the most widely discussed gene-editing tool, there is limited comparative analysis with alternative platforms such as TALENs or zinc finger nucleases, which may offer complementary benefits including lower off-target effects (Thompson, 2021). Future research would benefit from integrating AI and machine learning approaches to predict gene interactions, optimize editing precision, and simulate environmental responses prior to physical trials.

Conclusion

This review paper has examined the research underpinning agricultural biotechnology and genetic engineering as tools for advancing global food security and sustainability. The paper assessed the comparative photosynthetic strategies of C3, C4, and CAM plants, establishing how evolutionary differences in carbon fixation pathways determine adaptability under varying environmental conditions. Microbial innovations, including nitrogen-fixing and phosphorus-mobilizing bacteria such as Pseudomonas sp. RU47 and Rhizobium sp. B02, were evaluated as sustainable alternatives to chemical fertilizers, with documented improvements in soil fertility, nutrient uptake, and plant biomass.

The role of endophytic bacteria in modulating plant hormone levels and enhancing photosynthetic efficiency was also explored, with empirical evidence demonstrating chlorophyll increases of up to 34% and photosynthetic rate improvements of up to 61% under certain conditions. The integration of CRISPR-based modifications targeting Rubisco subunits was assessed as a precision approach to optimizing carbon fixation, with gene editing efficiency rates of 60 to 90% reported across experimental lines. The paper further documented how combined heat and drought stress can reduce photosynthetic rates by up to 80%, underscoring the urgency of developing stress-tolerant crop varieties. Ethical considerations surrounding CRISPR and the need for longitudinal field-based research were identified as essential areas for future attention. Across these interdisciplinary advances, the trajectory of climate-smart, high-yield, and resource-efficient crop development remains a compelling and necessary focus for the research community in the years ahead.

References

Beltran-Medina, M. J., et al. (2023). Rhizobium sp. B02 as a nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacterium promoting plant growth. *Applied Soil Ecology, 185,* 104787.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2023.104787

Donovan, L. A., et al. (2020). CRISPR-Cas9 editing of Rubisco small subunit genes reduces photosynthesis and biomass in plants. *Plant Physiology, 182*(2), 1113–1125. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.01234

Garcia, J. R., et al. (2019). Endophytic bacteria as modulators of photosynthetic efficiency across plant species. *Plant Science, 283*, 25–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.05.018

Hamerlynck, E. P. (1999). Photosynthesis and water relations of plants under heat and drought stress. *Functional Ecology, 13*(2), 185–196.

Idris, S. H., Mat Jalaluddin, N. S., & Chang, L. W. (2023). Ethical and legal implications of gene editing in plant breeding: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B, 24*(12), 1093–1105.

Ismail, A. M. (2021). Endophytic microbial metabolites enhance photosynthetic traits in legumes. *Journal of Plant Physiology, 162*, 72–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2021.05.011

Khan, A. L., et al. (2015). Endophytic bacteria improve plant stress tolerance by modulating hormonal pathways. *Journal of Plant Interactions, 10*(1), 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2015.1004305

Khan, N., & Bano, A. (2019). Endophytic induction of chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthetic resilience under drought stress. *Environmental and Experimental Botany, 161*, 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.03.011

Kumar, V., et al. (2017). Physiological response of C3, C4, and CAM plants to climate change. *Journal of Plant Biology, 60*(2), 87–101.

Nassal, D., et al. (2017). Pseudomonas sp. RU47 improves phosphorus availability and growth of tomato plants. *Biology and Fertility of Soils, 53*, 231–243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1174-6

Nobel, P. S. (1991). Physicochemical and environmental plant physiology. *New Phytologist, 118*(2), 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb01022.x

Santoyo, G., et al. (2016). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Microbiological Research, 183*, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.12.003

Soni, J., et al. (2019). Physiological responses of C3, C4, and CAM plants in a changing climate. *Plant Ecology, 220*, 91–104.

Sun, Y., et al. (2020). Endophytic bacteria promote growth and nutrient use efficiency in moso bamboo. *Forest Ecology and Management, 463*, 118052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118052

Thompson, P. B. (2021). Food system transformation and the role of gene technology: An ethical analysis. *Ethics & International Affairs, 35*(1), 35–49. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0892679420000665

Yuan, Z., et al. (2018). The growth-promoting effects of endophytic bacteria on Phyllostachys edulis. *Archives of Microbiology, 200*, 921–927. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-018-1500-8

Zhou, Y., et al. (2020). Combined heat and drought stress reduces photosynthetic efficiency in crop plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *155*, 684–693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.012

Zhou, Z., et al. (2024). Engineering Rubisco using CRISPR-Cas9 reveals the role of small subunits in carbon fixation. *Nature Plants*, *10*, 312–320. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-024-01532-1